Giant cell tumor of bone (GCT) is a rare, benign tumor characterized by localized bone destruction. GCT is thought to be biphenotypic, comprising a neoplastic stromal cell population and an osteoclast-like giant cell population. Recent studies have established that receptor activator of NFκB ligand (RANKL) plays an important role in GCT. Although its actions are not fully understood, RANKL acts as an obligate signal for normal osteoclast formation and function. The development of an antagonist to RANKL, denosumab, has led to clinical trials in osteoporosis, bone metastases in cancer, and GCT. Generally well-tolerated, denosumab is an extremely effective inhibitor of osteoclast differentiation and function in humans. A preliminary study of the use of denosumab in patients with advanced or unresectable GCT has suggested considerable activity and clinical benefit. The eventual role of denosumab in GCT is currently the subject of an ongoing clinical trial.
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Giant cell tumor of bone (GCT) is an uncommon, benign condition that typically affects patients of both sexes between 20 and 40 years of age. GCT accounts for 15–20% of all benign bone tumors.1 GCT is more common in ethnic Chinese populations, and cases of multifocal and familial GCT suggest that inherited factors may play a role.1 The genetic basis of GCT is not known, and no risk factors have been described. The tumor is characterized by localized bone destruction, leading to swelling, pain, and fracture. The most commonly affected sites include the distal femur, proximal tibia, and distal radius, but almost any bone may be involved. GCT typically presents as an intraosseous lytic lesion, but may extend into adjacent soft tissues. In epiphyseal regions, the bony destruction may extend to the cartilage margin, resulting in joint instability due to lack of subchondral bone. In the pelvis and spine, soft-tissue extension may cause neurological complications. Despite the notionally benign nature of GCT, pulmonary metastases may occur in some patients, which usually do not progress. Frank malignant transformation occurs in 2–3% of GCT, and is associated with clinical behavior typical of high-grade sarcomas.1

Pathologically, GCT belongs to a spectrum of benign, giant-cell-rich tumors, which includes giant cell tumor of tendon sheath, pigmented villonodular synovitis, aneurysmal bone cyst, and giant cell reparative granuloma.1 GCT is characterized by a stromal cell population, which is thought to be a mesenchymal osteoblast precursor, and variable numbers of eponymous giant cells. The giant cells are CD4+ and CD68+, positive for tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase and other markers of true osteoclasts, which is consistent with their hemopoietic origin. Mononuclear osteoclast-like cells can also be observed, which presumably represent precursor cells. The mesenchymal cell population is typically mononuclear and expresses vimentin. It is thought that the mesenchymal cell is the neoplastic component of GCT.1 Treatment of GCT depends on the stage of the disease, and is generally based on uncontrolled and retrospective studies (reviewed by Thomas and Skubitz2). In most cases, definitive treatment involves surgical curettage.3 Local adjuvant therapies may be employed to reduce the risk for relapse. Such adjuvant treatments include packing the cavity with bone cement, where the thermogenic polymerisation of methylmethacrylate may kill residual tumor cells.2 The local relapse rates for curettage vary between 20 and 50%. En bloc excision of tumors may also be used, with appropriate reconstruction of the adjacent joint.3 This process, which carries a higher morbidity, reduces the relapse rates to about 10%. Surgical excision may be associated with unacceptable morbidity, for example with large sacral lesions, lesions affecting the spine, large lesions extending into soft tissues, or metastatic lung lesions. Radiotherapy may be useful, and is associated with 60–80% local control rates.1 However, in addition to other long-term morbidities associated with radiotherapy in young patients, concerns have been raised in terms of an increased risk for malignant
transformation. The risk for malignant transformation may be lower with more recent megavoltage techniques. Arterial embolization has also been used for local control. To date, there are no effective systemic therapies for unresectable GCT. Agents that have been reported, usually in retrospective case series, include interferons, ifosfamide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and cisplatin (reviewed by Thomas and Skubitz). Given the associated toxicity, the lack of obvious clinical activity, and the benign nature of GCT, none of these treatments can be regarded as standard of care. Bisphosphonates have been used with some effect in retrospective case series, with reduction in relapse rates reported. Interestingly, giant cells were not eradicated by the use of bisphosphonates.

**Bone Biology and RANKL**

Bone is a complex, dynamic structure undergoing constant remodeling throughout life (reviewed by Martin et al. and Sims et al.). Excess bone resorption is a major contributor to bone and joint diseases. Osteoporosis, or generalized loss of bone mass, is a common disease and a significant health burden. The major problems that arise are due to fractures in the elderly, leading to immobility and a substantial mortality. Osteoporosis is due to an excess of bone resorption over formation, and is most commonly seen in women. In general, peak bone mass is established relatively early in adult life, and thereafter declines gradually with age. This decline is accelerated by the loss of estrogen following menopause in females. A second common clinical scenario associated with bone loss is in the setting of malignant disease. Typically, bone metastases from breast, prostate, lung, and kidney cancers will result in localized bone destruction (and to some extent bone formation), which causes pain and an increased risk for fracture, particularly in weight-bearing regions. In some malignant diseases, such as multiple myeloma, the bone loss may be generalized. While the osteoblast lineage has been reasonably well characterized, the osteoclast lineage was for many years less well understood (recently reviewed by Bar-Shavit and Boyce et al.). It was clear that the osteoclast was responsible for bone resorption. Morphologically, the osteoclast was a mono- or multinucleated cell, found almost exclusively at bone surfaces. Multinucleated osteoclasts may contain up to 50 nuclei. Markers of the osteoclast lineage include expression of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase, cathepsin K, and abundant adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent proton pumps located in the plasma membrane of osteoclasts adjacent to the bone surface. Bone resorption is effected by a combination of acidification of the compartment under the osteoclast, and by the secretion of a cocktail of proteases. Early animal studies demonstrated that osteoclasts (but not osteoblasts) could be regenerated following lethal irradiation by circulating cells from a donor, suggesting a hemopoietic origin. Subsequent studies established that osteoclasts could be generated in vitro by co-culture of bone marrow stromal cells with cells of the monocyte lineage, confirming this hypothesis and demonstrating an inter-dependence between the osteoblast and osteoclast lineages. These signaling molecules, including parathyroid hormone (PTH), PTH-related protein, vitamin D, and prostaglandins, acted via the osteoblast to increase osteoclast formation in vitro. However, all of these agents required co-culture with osteoblasts, suggesting the presence of an effector molecule that may represent a final common pathway downstream of these various signals. In 1997, it was demonstrated that this common signal was receptor activator of NFκB ligand (RANKL), identified as a high-affinity ligand for the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor, RANK. Both RANK and RANKL, also known as TNF-related activation-induced cytokine (TRANCE), osteoprotegerin (OPG) ligand (OPGL), and osteoclast differentiation factor (ODF), are essential for osteoclast development in vivo by targeted disruption. In addition, a soluble, non-membrane-associated form of RANK exists: OPG, which is thought to act as a decoy receptor for RANKL, thereby acting as an endogenous inhibitor of RANKL signaling. Monocytes could be differentiated into osteoclast-like cells in vitro without osteoblasts, implying that RANKL was the final common osteoblast-derived signal mediating the osteoclastogenic effects of multiple other signaling pathways. RANKL expression was observed in vivo in stromal cells within bone. It is important to note that other biological functions for RANKL have been identified, including mammary gland development, T-cell activation, and dendritic cell maturation.

**RANKL and Giant Cell Tumor of Bone**

Expression of RANKL in GCT of bone was first observed by two groups. These investigators showed that stromal cells within GCT express RANKL, and that co-culture of stromal cells with the RAW264.7 monocyte-derived cell line resulted in formation of osteoclast-like cells in vitro. OPG was detected in both osteoclast-like cells and the stromal cells within GCT, and RANK was observed primarily in the osteoclast-like cells. Two groups undertook molecular profiling of GCT, and showed that extremely high levels of RANKL and markers of the osteoclast lineage were expressed in GCT. Several groups identified expression of genes consistent with a pre-osteoblastic origin of the stromal cells in GCT. These data together suggested that an immature osteoblast-derived neoplastic cell initiates GCT by high levels of expression of RANKL. To date, there are no genetic events that are linked to RANKL expression in the stromal compartment of GCT. Interestingly, RANKL is expressed in several osteoclast-enriched neoplastic diseases, including GCT of tendon sheath, reparative giant cell granuloma, and giant-cell-rich hepatoma, suggesting that RANKL may be the final common pathway mediating osteoclast-like cell recruitment in a range of giant-cell-rich tumor types.

**Denosumab**

Abkins and colleagues observed that OPG treatment dose dependently inhibited resorption of bone slices by GCT in culture, and could also inhibit the formation of multinucleated osteoclasts from precursors within the GCT. These effects of OPG were competitively reversed by increasing concentrations of exogenous RANKL. This observation, and those outlined above, raised the possibility that blockade of RANKL may provide clinical benefit in GCT. However, the use of recombinant OPG itself may be associated with the generation of autoimmunity to the endogenous gene product, as has been observed for patients treated with recombinant human erythropoietin. Denosumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody with high affinity for human RANKL of immunoglobulin (Ig-G2 sub-class (reviewed by Miller)). It has little cross-reactivity with other TNF family members. Studies on over 5,000 patients with post-menopausal or androgen-deprivation osteoporosis have been published. An initial study using denosumab in 412 female patients with post-menopausal osteoporosis (AMG162 study) indicated a
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