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Ovarian cancer is the fifth most common malignancy in women. In the US, approximately 22,000 new cases occur each year. In Germany, about 8,000 new cases are diagnosed each year. About 80% of patients suffer from advanced-stage disease (International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics [FIGO] III/IV) with an unfavourable prognosis. Due to the lack of early specific symptoms, most patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage when the cancer has spread beyond the pelvis. Consequently, only 20% of patients are diagnosed at a stage where the malignancy is still confined to the ovary or lower pelvis. These cases, which carry a good prognosis with a five-year survival of about 90%, are often accidentally diagnosed when the ovaries are removed due to cystic changes.

At present, there is no valid screening tool available for ovarian cancer. Sequential vaginal ultrasound is not reliable, even in high-risk patients, because of breast cancer (BRCA) I or II mutations. Also, no blood test, whether the cancer antigen (CA)-125 or any other protein profiling, displayed sufficient specificity or sensitivity to be used as a routine screening marker for ovarian cancer. We have recently shown that the combination of several secreted markers (osteopontin, kallikrein or matrix metalloproteinase [MMP]) in combination with CA-125 can accurately distinguish sera of ovarian cancer patients from those of healthy women. Although this blood test was also able to detect early-stage disease, further validation is still necessary. The most important prognostic marker for survival in advanced ovarian cancer patients remains the post-operative tumour burden. No medical therapy is able to compensate for an inadequate surgical procedure; therefore, patients suspected of ovarian cancer should be referred to a specialised hospital site.

In 1996, the Gynecology Oncology Group (GOG) study 111 showed that cisplatin in combination with paclitaxel was superior to cisplatin and cyclophosphamide with respect to disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OAS). This study was conducted in patients who had received a suboptimal tumour reduction surgery. DFS and OAS were significantly prolonged in those patients who received cisplatin and paclitaxel compared with patients who received cisplatin and cyclophosphamide (18 versus 12.9 months and 37 versus 24 months, respectively). Based on these results, three prospective randomised trials proved the efficacy of paclitaxel in combination with platinum derivatives. The combination of cisplatin, paclitaxel, carboplatin and paclitaxel showed similar values for time to progression and OAS. In contrast, the latter combination was less neurotoxic than the cisplatin-based regimen. Therefore, quality of life is significantly improved by using carboplatin instead of cisplatin. Another advantage in favour of the carboplatin/paclitaxel combination is that this regimen can be administered in an outpatient setting. Therefore, today’s standard primary chemotherapy in ovarian cancer treatment is the combination of carboplatin and paclitaxel, providing the best ratio of benefits to side effects. Although this gold standard has been improving OAS significantly, the prognosis of ovarian cancer remains poor due the occurrence of chemotherapy resistance. One option to improve the efficacy of the standard treatment is the incorporation of non-cross-resistant cytotoxic drugs in addition to carboplatin and paclitaxel. Several attempts have been undertaken over the past few years with different drugs. The addition of epirubicine did not prolong progression-free survival (PFS) or OAS, but did increase haematological and non-haematological toxicities. Also, the combination of carboplatin, paclitaxel and topotecan as sequential single agents did not improve outcome. Incorporating liposomal pegylated doxorubicine and gemcitabine did not benefit patient survival. So far, all attempts to further improve efficacy by adding a third non-cross-resistant conventional cytotoxic agent (e.g. topotecan, etoposide, pegylated liposomal doxorubicine or gemcitabine) have failed.

Using an alternative method to administer the chemotherapeutic agents may optimise treatment. In one recently published study (GOG172), patients were treated with chemotherapy administered intravenously (IV) and intraperitoneally (IP). Patients treated in the standard arm received the combination cisplatin 75mg/m² and paclitaxel 135mg/m² IV. In contrast, patients treated in the experimental arm received paclitaxel 135mg/m² on day one IV, cisplatin 100mg/m² IP on day two and paclitaxel 60mg/m² IP on day eight. The authors report a significantly higher median survival for the ’IP group’: 65.6 versus 49.7 months in the ‘IV group’. No significant difference was found in PFS, but the patients treated in the IP arm did.
have a significant disadvantage with respect to the quality of life (e.g. abdominal pain, neurotoxicity). Even in experienced cancer centres, only 42% received the IP regimen as planned. Eight per cent of the patients did not obtain IP chemotherapy at all, and in 34% of the patients only one to two cycles of IP chemotherapy were given. However, interpretation of the results is difficult. One point of criticism is that different dosages of cisplatin were used (75 versus 100mg/m²). Also, the additional application of paclitaxel on day eight raises the question of whether it would be useful to employ a dose-dense schema rather than giving chemotherapy IP. Additionally, it was not an intention-to-treat analysis. No data about second-line treatment are published; this fact seems to be very important since it is well known that second-line treatment has an impact on survival. Thus, this regimen has not been adopted as a standard of care.

**Future Perspectives**

The future of cancer therapy may lie in identifying biological dependencies of cancer cells that can be specifically targeted. Within the last few years, more and more specific antibodies and small molecules have become available for targeted therapy. For ovarian cancer patients, the correct therapeutic intervention could be a combination of carboplatin and paclitaxel plus a small-molecule drug. Targeting tumour-induced neoangiogenesis may be one option.

The humanised vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) antibody bevacizumab shows clinical responses in heavily pre-treated ovarian cancer patients.19–21 The NCT00129727 trial, in which patients will receive carboplatin and paclitaxel plus a small-molecule drug. Targeting tumour-induced neoangiogenesis may be one option.

Erlotinib will also be investigated in a phase III clinical trial, given as a maintenance therapy after primary chemotherapy. In phase I clinical trials, the anti-idiotypic antibody abagovomab (CA-125 antigen) in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer was found to be safe to be administered and was also well tolerated.22,23 Imatinib is a small-molecule drug that inhibits tyrosine kinases. It is used in haematological malignancies and in patients suffering from gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST). The recently conducted Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) 50211 trial using imatinib in recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancer did not show a markedly improved response rate.24 Using the small-molecule drug gefitinib in epithelial ovarian cancer also did not show a clinical response. However, at the molecular level, a decrease in target phosphorylation was observed.25 Although these data are preliminary, they suggest that the combination of conventional chemotherapy and targeted therapy may increase chemotherapy responses, prolong DFS and, therefore, improve the prognosis of ovarian cancer.

**Conclusion**

Today, the combination of radical cyto-reductive surgery and chemotherapy with carboplatin and paclitaxel is the standard treatment for advanced ovarian cancer patients. Much hope is pinned on targeted therapies utilising novel molecular drugs, and several phase III trials with these substances have already begun worldwide.