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Abstract
The prognosis for patients with relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is poor, and effective treatments for this patient group remain a substantially unmet clinical need. Elacytarabine is a promising new cytotoxic nucleoside agent made by an esterification reaction between cytarabine and elaidic acid, currently in development for use in the treatment of relapsed/refractory AML. Unlike cytarabine, cellular uptake of elacytarabine is independent of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-1 (hENT-1) and results in prolonged intracellular retention of the active nucleoside. In addition, elacytarabine inhibits DNA synthesis for twice the duration seen with cytarabine and exhibits a different intracellular distribution. A Phase I trial in patients with AML identified a recommended dose of 2,000 mg/m²/day for five days and showed limited non-haematological side effects, liver toxicity being dose-limiting. Elacytarabine can be safely combined with idarubicin. A recent Phase II trial demonstrated an improved complete remission rate and overall survival with elacytarabine as a single agent in patients with advanced AML, as compared with a historical control group treated with second salvage therapy. Following these encouraging results, the results of an ongoing Phase III clinical trial comparing elacytarabine with the investigator’s choice of standard of care are awaited with interest.
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Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is a genetically heterogeneous group of leukaemias that result from clonal transformation of haematopoietic precursors through the acquisition of chromosomal rearrangements and multiple gene mutations. In the absence of treatment, AML progresses rapidly, resulting in bone marrow failure, anaemia, fatal infection, bleeding and organ infiltration. The incidence of AML increases with age, with 70 years the median age at diagnosis. In Europe, the incidence of AML in adults is 5–8 cases/100,000/year, while the mortality rate is 4–6 cases/100,000/year. Treatment of AML consists of two phases: induction therapy, which aims to produce complete remission (CR), and post-remission (consolidation) therapy, which aims to prolong the CR. For the past 30 years, the standard therapy for patients with AML consists of cytarabine in conjunction with an anthracycline, such as daunorubicin or idarubicin. In general, following induction therapy, the CR rate is 50–75% in adult patients. The major determinants of prognosis for patients with AML are age, cytogenetics and FLT3/NPM1 gene mutation profile. After CR, however, the majority of patients relapse, giving rise to a more resistant leukaemia. The prognosis for relapsed or refractory AML patients is poor and there is no standard treatment. For patients who achieve CR, AML may be curable by stem cell transplantation. The introduction of new drugs and therapeutic regimens that have been shown to achieve CR in a greater number of patients could potentially result in more transplants being performed, and consequently increased cure rates in relapsed or refractory AML patients. New therapies for the treatment of relapsed/refractory AML represent an unmet clinical need. This article will discuss the use of elacytarabine, a promising new agent in the treatment of relapsed/refractory AML.

Current Treatment Options for Refractory/Relapsed Acute Myeloid Leukaemia
At the current time, there is no treatment specifically approved for relapsed/refractory AML. Cytarabine (1-ß-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine, ara-C) given at intermediate (1 g/m²) and high (2–3 g/m²) doses is the mainstay of second-line treatment for relapsed AML. Common salvage regimens are cytarabine for six days (2–3 g/m² every 12 hours) or a combination of cytarabine (3 g/m²) every 12 hours on days 1, 3, 5 and 7 with either daunorubicin (50 mg/m²) or idarubicin (10 mg/m²) on days 2, 4 and 6. Cytarabine is a deoxynucleoside analogue which, following intracellular conversion to the active triphosphate metabolite of cytarabine (ara-CTP) causes irreversible inhibition of DNA synthesis by becoming permanently bound into elongating DNA strands. This in turn leads to chain termination, inhibition of further DNA synthesis, DNA fragmentation and induction of apoptosis.
Monophosphorylation of cytarabine by deoxycytidine kinase (dCK) is the rate-limiting step in intracellular conversion to ara-CTP. The efficacy of cytarabine is heavily dependent on dose and schedule because of its short biological half-life. This is due to a rapid deamination into uracil arabinoside (ara-U) by the enzyme cytidine deaminase in the blood, liver, kidneys and intestine. The chemotherapeutic efficacy of cytarabine varies dramatically between individuals, with relapsed leukaemia representing a considerable therapeutic challenge. At least two distinct mechanisms of cytarabine resistance have been identified in leukaemic cells. Resistance can be due to altered nucleoside transport (leading to a reduction in intracellular concentrations of the drug). Resistance can also result from reduced intracellular activation due to high deaminase activity (which inactivates cytarabine), low dCK activity (which decreases the production of active metabolites) or high cytosolic 5’-nucleotidase II (which dephosphorylates cytarabine monophosphate to cytarabine).

**Role of Nucleoside Transporters in Resistance to Cytarabine**

Cytarabine is a hydrophilic molecule, and therefore its entry into tumour cells requires the expression of nucleoside-specific membrane transport carriers. The human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-1 (hENT1) is responsible for 80–90% of the total transmembrane transport of pyrimidine nucleosides and 80% of cytarabine influx into human leukaemic blast cells. Transport of cytarabine into the cancer cell is important, as intracellular concentrations of ara-CTP correlate with clinical outcomes. Further supporting this, it has been noted that some cancers have low levels of hENT1 and respond poorly to nucleoside analogues.

Several studies have further highlighted the association between hENT1, intracellular transport and cytarabine resistance. It has been demonstrated in vitro that hENT1-deficient cells are highly resistant to cytarabine, while transfection with the hENT1 gene sensitised the cells to the drug. Hubeek et al. found a significant correlation between hENT1 messenger RNA (mRNA) expression and in vitro response to cytarabine in 50 samples from paediatric AML patients (product-moment correlation [rP] -0.46, p=0.001), with threefold lower hENT1 mRNA levels found in resistant patients (p=0.003). Additionally, in a study of 77 AML patients, deficient expression of HENT1 mRNA was associated with an increased risk of relapse and a significant reduction in long-term survival.

The importance of hENT1 was further illustrated in a study of 123 cytarabine-treated patients, where patient hENT1 deficiency was related to shorter disease-free survival.

The abundance of hENT1 is variable in AML patients; however, the number of nucleoside transport sites (NTSs) on blast cells has been noted to closely correlate with intracellular accumulation of ara-CTP and sensitivity to cytarabine. Gati et al. observed that within leukaemic myeloblasts from nine patients there was a sixfold variation in the number of hENT1 sites (as determined by flow cytometry and the use of a fluorescent hENT1-binding molecule). In their study, sensitivity of leukaemic myeloblasts to cytarabine was shown to correlate with the abundance of functional nucleoside transporters. Wiley et al. also noted that the transport capacity of cytarabine into blast cells was directly related to the number of NTSs on the cell. Low cytarabine transport rates or few NTSs on blasts were observed in a subset of patients with acute leukaemia who failed to achieve remission with drug combinations containing cytarabine.

Elicytarabine

Elicytarabine (CP-4055, 5’-O-[trans-9’-octadecenoyl]-1-β-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine) is a novel cytotoxic agent, made by an
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Esterification reaction between cytarabine and elaidic acid, a naturally occurring fatty acid (see Figure 1). Elacytarbine was designed according to the lipid vector technology, a novel approach to improving the efficacy of pharmaceutical agents by producing lipid-conjugated derivatives of parent drugs. Addition of a fatty acid chain makes the compound more lipophlic. As a result, elacytarbine enters cancer cells independently of hENT1 followed by cellular conversion of nucleosides to ara-CTP (see Figures 2 and 3).29 Galmarini et al. treated lymphoma cell lines, proficient or deficient in nucleoside transport, with either cytarabine or elacytarbine.30 When compared with the cell lines that had a proficient transport system, cells deficient in nucleoside transport were 56,700 times more resistant to cytarabine, while a 75-fold increase in resistance was seen when treated with elacytarbine. A nucleoside transporter-independent uptake with prolonged retention of the active nucleoside has been shown for elacytarbine in vitro in leukaemic CEM cells,26 and this has important clinical implications. Elacytarbine presumably enters cells by alternative mechanisms, which may include passive diffusion, a non-saturable process. Therefore, uptake of the drug by tumour cells may not be limited by saturation of, or by competition of other compounds for, the transport carrier. Furthermore, resistance of tumour cells against cytarabine can develop at the level of intracellular transport but, for elacytarbine, induced resistance was caused by downregulation of dCK.29

In addition to having a more favourable cellular uptake mechanism, elacytarbine also has a different intracellular distribution and inhibits DNA synthesis for twice the time of cytarabine. In C26G cells, DNA synthesis was inhibited for more than four hours after exposure to elacytarbine, while DNA synthesis had fully recovered after only two hours following exposure to cytarabine.32 This longer period of inhibition may be due to slower intracellular release and prolonged intracellular retention of cytarabine and its active metabolites from elacytarbine. Within the cell, elacytarbine is predominantly located in the membrane proteins and cytosolic portions, unlike cytarabine, which is exclusively in the cytosol fraction.33 To gain its cytotoxic activity, elacytarbine first undergoes hydrolysis to form cytarabine and is further converted to ara-CTP as previously described. Because of this conversion step, elacytarbine is not immediately available as a substrate for inactivation into ara-U by cytidine deaminase,30 and this contributes to the prolonged generation of cytotoxic triphosphates. In a human Raji lymphoma model, with the tumour grown either in the cisterna magna or administered intravenously, long-term survival rates (80 days) of 60–80% were seen when treated with elacytarbine.31 In contrast, the mean survival time of cytarabine-treated animals was 34.2 days and none survived for 80 days. The mean survival time of the untreated controls was 40 days.

Clinical Studies

Elacytarbine has the potential to overcome important mechanisms of resistance to cytarabine. As such, it addresses a major unmet medical need, leading to it receive ‘orphan drug’ status in 2007 from the European Commission and in 2008 from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). More recently, elacytarbine was given an FDA fast-track designation.

A Phase II protocol (CP4055-106 study, ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00405743) was designed to determine the safety and efficacy of elacytarbine in patients with AML receiving elacytarbine either as monotherapy or in combination with idarubicin (see Figure 4). Trial arms A and B (dose escalation) enrolled a total of 77 patients with haematological malignancies; the majority presented with refractory/refractory AML and most had received two or more previous chemotherapeutic regimens.31,32 Patients were treated on days 1–5 every three weeks; in arm A, 37 patients were treated at doses of 300–2,500 mg/m²/day administered as a two- or four-hour infusion; in arm B, 40 patients were treated at doses of 200–2,500 mg/m²/day given as a 24-hour continuous intravenous infusion (CIV). Antileukaemic activity was observed at doses of 875 mg/m²/day and higher. Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) occurred at 2,500 mg/m²/day; these were increased bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase elevation and increased alkaline phosphatase, all of which were reversible.

Figure 4: Overview of Phase I/II Elacytarbine Trials (CP4055-106 Study)

Figure 5: Mean Plasma Concentrations of Elacytarbine, Cytarabine and Uracil Arabinoside versus Time in Seven Patients Treated with Elacytarbine at a Dose of 2,000 mg/m²/day by Continuous 24-hour Infusion during Five Days

AML = acute myeloid leukaemia; CIV = continuous intravenous infusion.
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Table 1: Summary of Efficacy Results of Phase I Elacytarabine Trial (CP4055-106 Study)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2–4h IV (Arm A) (n=37)</th>
<th>CIV (Arm B) (n=40)</th>
<th>CIV + Idarubicin (Arm C) (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1 (2.5 %)</td>
<td>1 (2.5 %)</td>
<td>3 (20.0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR+</td>
<td>1 (2.7 %)</td>
<td>4 (10.0 %)</td>
<td>2 (13.3 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>2 (2.7 %)</td>
<td>1 (2.5 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2 (5.4 %)</td>
<td>6 (15.0 %)</td>
<td>4 (26.7 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIV = continuous intravenous infusion; CR = complete remission; CRp = CR with incomplete platelet recovery; ida = idarubicin; IV = intravenous; PR = partial remission.

Table 2: Efficacy of Elacytarabine in Phase II Trial (CP4055-106 Study) Compared with Historical Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elacytarabine*</th>
<th>Controls**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR/CRp rate</td>
<td>18 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median OS (months)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early mortality (30-day)</td>
<td>13 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR = complete remission; CRp = CR with incomplete platelet recovery; OS = overall survival.
* Figures from O'Brien et al., 2012.1
** Based on historical control data from Giles et al., 2005.6

Common adverse events (AEs) associated with the treatment were nausea, vomiting, stomatitis, diarrhoea, elevated liver function tests, thrombocytopenia and fever.

The recommended Phase II dose for elacytarabine monotherapy was determined to be 2,000 mg/m²/day CIV. Pharmacokinetic data detailing the plasma concentration/time profile of elacytarabine given at the recommended dose during five days to seven patients are summarised in Figure 5.

The objectives of arm C of the Phase I trial were to determine the maximum tolerated dose and the pharmacokinetic properties of elacytarabine in combination with idarubicin (12 mg/m²/day on days 2–4) when administered by CIV for five days in a three-week course to patients with refractory/refractory AML (i.e., those who have failed at least one and not more than three previous treatment regimens).38 The trial aims to recruit 380 patients at 75 sites in the US, Canada, Australia and Europe, and will stratify patients according to intensity of prior antileukaemic therapy and prior remission on antileukaemic therapy. Patients randomised to the elacytarabine arm will receive one or two courses of single-agent elacytarabine 2,000 mg/m²/day CIV for five days in a three-week cycle. For patients in remission, consolidation treatment will be given with the same schedule and with the option to lower the dose. The primary objective of the study is to compare overall survival between patients treated with elacytarabine and those treated with the investigator’s choice of standard of care. The investigator’s choices of treatment comprise: high-dose cytarabine; a combination of mitoxantrone, etoposide and cytarabine; a combination of fludarabine, cytarabine and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor with or without idarubicin; low-dose cytarabine; azacitidine or decitabine; hydroxyurea; or palliative care. The secondary objectives of the trial are to compare the response rates, duration of response and safety profile of elacytarabine with the investigator’s choice of control treatment. Data are expected in early 2013.

Future Developments

The development of clinical assays that predict sensitivity and/or resistance to nucleoside anticaner drugs, and thus identify patient populations that will most probably benefit from optimal treatments, would be a major step forwards in the treatment of AML. Recently, it was found that DNA methylation signatures can identify subtypes of AML and may effectively predict clinical outcomes. As the pathophysiology of AML is further elucidated, it is hoped that the development and use of biomarkers such as nucleoside transporters, gene expression patterns and leukaemia-associated antigens will help predict clinical outcomes in patients with AML.

The relevance of hENT1 is currently being addressed in the Phase II study CP4055-205 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01035502), which evaluates elacytarabine plus idarubicin in patients who fail induction chemotherapy. This is a single-arm open-label Phase II study in patients who fail the first cytarabine-based induction course of chemotherapy. Its objectives are to determine the rate of CR or CR with insufficient haematological recovery (CRi), investigate the impact of hENT1 expression level on treatment activity, and characterise the safety profile of the treatment combination. Although recruitment is still ongoing, interim results show that 48 % of the patients attained CR or CRi and that response to elacytarabine plus idarubicin was independent of hENT1 status. A second objective of the trial is to investigate the...
association between the patient’s hENT1 expression level and his or her response to cytarabine and elacytarabine. Upon initial AML diagnosis and trial enrolment, and prior to cytarabine treatment, 25 patients were assessed for hENT1 expression level. Approximately 50% had low hENT1 expression. Following treatment, half of those with high hENT1 responded to cytarabine-based induction therapy, whereas only one-third of patients with low hENT1 responded. These data indicate that hENT1 expression level could be used to target patients who are unlikely to benefit from conventional cytarabine therapy and should rather be treated with elacytarabine. A flow cytometry method suitable for hENT1 analysis in first-line AML patients is currently in development. The aim is to facilitate the selection of patients who are most likely to benefit from elacytarabine rather than cytarabine, using a method that will be easy to integrate into standard leukaemia diagnostic practice.

Concluding Remarks

Despite increased understanding of the molecular pathophysiology of AML, the prognosis of patients with relapsed disease remains poor. Effective treatment of relapsed AML is a major unmet clinical need. Elacytarabine represents an exciting new development in the treatment of AML and has shown promising remission rate, survival and tolerability as a second salvage therapy in AML patients. The cytotoxic activity of elacytarabine and sustained inhibition of DNA synthesis are ameliorated through increased intracellular uptake (independent of hENT1), prolonged exposure to ara-CTP and decreased deactivation to ara-U, leading to apoptosis in malignant cells. A recent Phase II trial showed improved CR rate and overall survival with elacytarabine in patients with advanced AML (second salvage) as compared with a large historical control group, and Phase III study results are awaited with interest. Elacytarabine may therefore be useful as a first-line therapy, especially where standard cytarabine treatment has limitations. A diagnostic assay for measurement of the hENT1 transporter might allow a further personalisation of AML therapy, with the exciting prospect of offering a novel, potentially more effective therapy to a subset of patients.