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Abstract
Metastases from colon cancer occur to the regional lymph nodes, the liver and the peritoneal surfaces. Rectal cancer may disseminate to these sites and also to the lungs. These metastases may occur synchronously with the detection of primary disease or metachronously in follow-up. The timing of the metastatic process is important in terms of treatment possibilities. Each anatomical site for metastatic disease has the potential for an individualised management strategy. Systemic chemotherapy as an adequate management plan for all sites of colorectal metastatic disease is not compatible with a high standard of care. Formulation of an optimal plan combining surgery with regional and systemic chemotherapy is a necessary function of the multidisciplinary team.
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The management of colorectal cancer has continued to evolve over approximately one century. Without a doubt the most effective management strategy to combat this disease is prevention. This involves the identification of high-risk groups, dietary changes and dietary supplements. The next most effective management strategy is screening for disease to confirm a diagnosis of colon or rectal cancer in its early natural history. The use of the haemoccult test on a regular basis has been proven effective. Better yet, for screening is complete colonoscopy. In symptomatic patients, the surgical management strategies have been well defined for both colon cancer and for rectal cancer. The surgery must provide a complete clearance of the primary cancer and its lymph node groups at risk for metastatic disease. The resection must be accomplished with perfect containment of the process. A tragic modern-day surgical reality continues in that a patient may enter the operating room with a contained process and leave with disseminated disease. Iatrogenic cancer dissemination results from trauma to the surgical specimen so that cancer cells are not contained and are lost from the specimen into the resection site or free peritoneal cavity. The long-term result is local recurrence and peritoneal metastases. This can occur with open colorectal surgery or with laparoscopic resection.

This manuscript is not a commentary on the majority of patients who have an uncomplicated colorectal cancer resection and a favourable prognosis. It concerns the approximately 30% of patients who have advanced disease at the time of presentation and the 50% of patients who months or years after resection are found to have progressive disease as a result of treatment failure of primary disease. The focus is on local recurrence and metastases from colon and rectal cancer.

Lymph Nodal Metastases from Colorectal Cancer
In the past, extensive lymphadenectomy as part of a colorectal cancer resection was thought by many surgeons to be unnecessary. The rationale was that patients with metastases to the intermediate or para-aortic nodes could not survive even if these nodes were resected as part of the primary colorectal cancer surgical intervention. Recent data suggests that this resection to a “conservative resection” was not indicated. Rather, data now shows that one should perform a wide resection of lymph nodes to the superior mesenteric vessels with a right colon cancer. With a left-sided malignancy, nodes should be resected to the origin of the inferior mesenteric artery.

Swanson and colleagues reported on the survival of 35,787 prospectively collected cases of T3N0 colon cancers that were surgically treated and pathologically reported from 1985–91. T3 cancers would be expected to be at a higher risk for lymph nodal metastases as compared to T1 or T2 lesions and therefore, adequate lymphadenectomy would show a greater benefit in this subgroup of patients. The five-year survival of T3N0M0 colon cancer patients varied from 64% if one or two lymph nodes were examined to 86% if greater than 25 lymph nodes were examined. Three strata of resected lymph nodes (1–7, 8–12 and greater than 13) resulted in significantly different five-year survival rates. The authors conclude that the prognosis of T3N0 colon cancer patients is dependent on the number of lymph nodes examined and suggest a minimum of 13 lymph nodes to be resected.

Le Voyer and colleagues reported on survival from an intergroup trial, INT-0089. In 3,411 assessable patients, 648 had no evidence of lymph node metastases. Multivariate analyses were performed on both
the node positive and node negative groups separately to ascertain the effect of extent of lymph node resection on survival. As might be expected, survival decreased with increasing number of lymph nodes involved (p=0.0001). After controlling for the number of nodes involved, survival increased as more nodes were analysed (p=0.0001). Even when no nodes were involved, overall survival and cause-specific survival improved as more nodes were available for analysis (p=0.0005 and p=0.007, respectively). The authors conclude that the number of lymph nodes removed and available for analysis for staging colorectal cancer is itself a prognostic variable on outcome.9

Hepatic Metastases from Colorectal Cancer

Due to the pioneering efforts of Wilson and Adson, Foster and Berman, and Hughes and colleagues, the benefits that occur with the resection of liver metastases from metastatic colorectal cancer have been established as a standard of practice.10-12 This change in the established guidelines has occurred despite the fact that there has no verification of the evidence in terms of a Phase III randomised controlled study.13 Overall, the five-year survival following R-0 hepatic resection for colorectal metastases is 30-50 %. There may be some improvement in this statistic as a result of repeat hepatic resections that have been shown to be successful.14 In some patients with liver metastases that are unresectable because of a large extent of disease, systemic chemotherapy can downsize the liver metastases so that an R-0 resection is possible. The survival of this group of patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy is nearly identical to patients in whom the cytoreductive surgery was complete had a median survival of 32.4 months as compared to 8.4 months in patients in whom cytoreductive surgery was complete (p<0.001). The morbidity was 22.9 % and mortality 4 %. The authors conclude that the therapeutic approach of combining cytoreductive surgery with peri-operative intraperitoneal mitomycin C and fluorouracil.22 Shen and colleagues accumulated patients between 1991 and 2002. Seventy-seven non-appendiceal colorectal cancer patients underwent the combined treatment. They concluded that one-third of patients with complete resection had long-term survival and that systemic chemotherapy did not contribute to the control of peritoneal metastases.23 In 2003, Verwaal and colleagues from Amsterdam published a three-year projected survival of 38 % in 54 patients treated by cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal mitomycin C with adjuvant systemic 5-fluorouracil.24 These studies, performed in the absence of modern colorectal cancer chemotheraphy (oxaliplatin and irinotecan), document the efficacy of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to rescue approximately one-third of patients with peritoneal metastases. Undoubtedly, patient selection had an important role in achieving these long-term survivals. However, the uniformly fatal outcome of peritoneal metastases no matter the selection factors, establishes the ultimate importance of these early reports.

Since 2003, multiple publications confirming the efficacy of the combination of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to benefit patients with colorectal peritoneal metastases have been published. Giehen, in a multi-institutional retrospective study of 506 patients from 28 institutions, reported an overall median survival of 19.2 months in patients with peritoneal metastases from colorectal cancer treated with the combined approach. Of great importance, patients in whom the cytoreductive surgery was complete had a median survival of 32.4 months as compared to 8.4 months in patients in whom cytoreduction was not completed (p<0.001). The morbidity was 22.9 % and mortality 4 %. The authors conclude that the therapeutic approach of combining cytoreductive surgery with peri-operative intraperitoneal chemotherapy achieved long-term survival in a selected group of patients with peritoneal metastases of colorectal origin with acceptable morbidity and mortality. The complete cytoreduction was the most important prognostic indicator.25

Elias and colleagues reported on colorectal peritoneal metastases in a retrospective analysis of 523 patients from 23 French-speaking centres. The overall median survival was 30.1 months and the five-year overall survival was 27 %. Eighty-four per cent of the patients had a complete cytoreduction with a median survival of 33 months. This group concluded that cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy is now considered the gold standard in the French guidelines for management of peritoneal metastases.26 Similarly, Verwaal reported a long-term survival benefit for peritoneal metastases from colon and rectal cancer using cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy began to appear in publications in the 1990s. In 1995, Sugarbaker and Jablonski showed a three-year survival of 35 % in patients with peritoneal metastases from colon cancer treated with cytoreductive surgery plus early post-operative intraperitoneal mitomycin C and fluorouracil.22 Shen and colleagues accumulated patients between 1991 and 2002. Seventy-seven non-appendiceal colorectal cancer patients underwent the combined treatment. They concluded that one-third of patients with complete resection had long-term survival and that systemic chemotherapy did not contribute to the control of peritoneal metastases.23 In 2003, Verwaal and colleagues from Amsterdam published a three-year projected survival of 38 % in 54 patients treated by cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal mitomycin C with adjuvant systemic 5-fluorouracil.24 These studies, performed in the absence of modern colorectal cancer chemotheraphy (oxaliplatin and irinotecan), document the efficacy of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to rescue approximately one-third of patients with peritoneal metastases. Undoubtedly, patient selection had an important role in achieving these long-term survivals. However, the uniformly fatal outcome of peritoneal metastases no matter the selection factors, establishes the ultimate importance of these early reports.

Management of Peritoneal Metastases

Survival benefits for peritoneal metastases from colon and rectal cancer using cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy began to appear in publications in the 1990s. In 1995, Sugarbaker and Jablonski showed a three-year survival of 35 % in patients with peritoneal metastases from colon cancer treated with cytoreductive surgery plus early post-operative intraperitoneal mitomycin C and fluorouracil.22 Shen and colleagues accumulated patients between 1991 and 2002. Seventy-seven non-appendiceal colorectal cancer patients underwent the combined treatment. They concluded that one-third of patients with complete resection had long-term survival and that systemic chemotherapy did not contribute to the control of peritoneal metastases.23 In 2003, Verwaal and colleagues from Amsterdam published a three-year projected survival of 38 % in 54 patients treated by cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal mitomycin C with adjuvant systemic 5-fluorouracil.24 These studies, performed in the absence of modern colorectal cancer chemotheraphy (oxaliplatin and irinotecan), document the efficacy of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to rescue approximately one-third of patients with peritoneal metastases. Undoubtedly, patient selection had an important role in achieving these long-term survivals. However, the uniformly fatal outcome of peritoneal metastases no matter the selection factors, establishes the ultimate importance of these early reports.

Since 2003, multiple publications confirming the efficacy of the combination of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to benefit patients with colorectal peritoneal metastases have been published. Giehen, in a multi-institutional retrospective study of 506 patients from 28 institutions, reported an overall median survival of 19.2 months in patients with peritoneal metastases from colorectal cancer treated with the combined approach. Of great importance, patients in whom the cytoreductive surgery was complete had a median survival of 32.4 months as compared to 8.4 months in patients in whom cytoreduction was not completed (p<0.001). The morbidity was 22.9 % and mortality 4 %. The authors conclude that the therapeutic approach of combining cytoreductive surgery with peri-operative intraperitoneal chemotherapy achieved long-term survival in a selected group of patients with peritoneal metastases of colorectal origin with acceptable morbidity and mortality. The complete cytoreduction was the most important prognostic indicator.25

Elias and colleagues reported on colorectal peritoneal metastases in a retrospective analysis of 523 patients from 23 French-speaking centres. The overall median survival was 30.1 months and the five-year overall survival was 27 %. Eighty-four per cent of the patients had a complete cytoreduction with a median survival of 33 months. This group concluded that cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy is now considered the gold standard in the French guidelines for management of peritoneal metastases.26 Similarly, Verwaal reported a long-term survival benefit for peritoneal metastases from colon and rectal cancer using cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy began to appear in publications in the 1990s. In 1995, Sugarbaker and Jablonski showed a three-year survival of 35 % in patients with peritoneal metastases from colon cancer treated with cytoreductive surgery plus early post-operative intraperitoneal mitomycin C and fluorouracil.22 Shen and colleagues accumulated patients between 1991 and 2002. Seventy-seven non-appendiceal colorectal cancer patients underwent the combined treatment. They concluded that one-third of patients with complete resection had long-term survival and that systemic chemotherapy did not contribute to the control of peritoneal metastases.23 In 2003, Verwaal and colleagues from Amsterdam published a three-year projected survival of 38 % in 54 patients treated by cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal mitomycin C with adjuvant systemic 5-fluorouracil.24 These studies, performed in the absence of modern colorectal cancer chemotheraphy (oxaliplatin and irinotecan), document the efficacy of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to rescue approximately one-third of patients with peritoneal metastases. Undoubtedly, patient selection had an important role in achieving these long-term survivals. However, the uniformly fatal outcome of peritoneal metastases no matter the selection factors, establishes the ultimate importance of these early reports.

Management of Peritoneal Metastases

Survival benefits for peritoneal metastases from colon and rectal cancer using cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy began to appear in publications in the 1990s. In 1995, Sugarbaker and Jablonski showed a three-year survival of 35 % in patients with peritoneal metastases from colon cancer treated with cytoreductive surgery plus early post-operative intraperitoneal mitomycin C and fluorouracil.22 Shen and colleagues accumulated patients between 1991 and 2002. Seventy-seven non-appendiceal colorectal cancer patients underwent the combined treatment. They concluded that one-third of patients with complete resection had long-term survival and that systemic chemotherapy did not contribute to the control of peritoneal metastases.23 In 2003, Verwaal and colleagues from Amsterdam published a three-year projected survival of 38 % in 54 patients treated by cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal mitomycin C with adjuvant systemic 5-fluorouracil.24 These studies, performed in the absence of modern colorectal cancer chemotheraphy (oxaliplatin and irinotecan), document the efficacy of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy to rescue approximately one-third of patients with peritoneal metastases. Undoubtedly, patient selection had an important role in achieving these long-term surviv
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Dutch multicentre data analysis. The survival of 562 patients at 10 years was 37 %.27 At the top of the list regarding evidence-based medicine for treatment of peritoneal metastases is the Phase III study reported by Verwaal and colleagues in 2003. This landmark study compared 105 colorectal peritoneal metastases patients who were randomly assigned to receive either standard treatment with systemic 5-fluouracil and leucovorin or an aggressive cytoreductive surgery with peri-operative chemotherapy using hyperthermic mitomycin C. The patients in the ‘experimental therapy’ arm also received systemic 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy. After a median follow-up of 21.6 months, the median survival was 12.6 months with systemic chemotherapy and 22.3 months with cytoreduction and peri-operative chemotherapy (p=0.032). These authors reported that a complete cytoreduction and a limited extent of disease were important determinants of benefit.28 The durability of the benefit of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy was confirmed in a follow-up manuscript in 2008.29

Recently, these benefits have been called into question by Ryan. He has questioned the relevance of cytoreductive surgery and peri-operative chemotherapy now that oxaliplatin, irinotecan and molecular agents are available. He contends that the benefits of systemic chemotherapy alone are so great that cytoreduction plus peri-operative chemotherapy is no longer indicated.30 However, current data confirms that for limited extent of peritoneal metastases, a multidisciplinary approach using the best surgical and best chemotherapy treatments is preferable. Franko and colleagues presented data to show that these two options work best when used together. They showed that the median survival was longer in patients treated by modern systemic chemotherapy when cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) was added to the clinical pathway.31 Currently, standard of care, until more data becomes available, indicates that patients with peritoneal metastases from colorectal cancer have the right to be informed of a possible curative treatment option. It is the oncologist’s obligation to provide the relevant information in a timely fashion.32

Lung Metastases From Colorectal Cancer
Thoracotomy to remove metastatic colorectal cancer has been advocated for selected patients since the early 1980s. Lung metastases, in the absence of metastases at other sites, occur in a minority of colorectal cancer patients. In one series of 1,578 patients who underwent a potentially curative resection of colorectal cancer, 137 (8.7 %) developed lung metastases and only 16 (1 %) were candidates for thoracotomy. Also, the prognosis of lung metastases from colon cancer and lung metastases from rectal cancer are different. Eleven and a half per cent were resected from rectal cancer and only 3.6 % from colon cancer patients.24 Currently, favourable factors for resection include solitary metastases, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) less than 5 ng/ml and a disease-free interval of greater than three years.33

Technology of Pulmonary Metastases Resection or Ablation
Originally, lung metastases were resected through a lateral thoracotomy incision. In selected patients with bilateral pulmonary metastases a bilateral thoracotomy may facilitate the resection by eradication of disease from both lungs with a single intervention.34

Thorascopic intervention may identify and then resect the pulmonary metastases in the absence of a thoracotomy. The lesion should be three centimetres or less in size, well identified on CT and away from major vessels or bronchi.36 Recently, percutaneous ablation of lung nodules has been reported.37 This technology in properly selected patients is reported to be well tolerated and effective in the long-term follow-up. Where the expertise is available, the percutaneous ablation may be the treatment of choice in selected patients.

Multiple Sites of Colorectal Metastases
Synchronous Metastases
Frequently, patients with simultaneous liver metastases and lung metastases or liver metastases and peritoneal metastases should be considered for treatment. In general, the ‘Elias Rule of Fives’ can be applied in this situation. If the patient can be made clinically disease-free and there are five or less sites of metastases, then the attempt at resection should occur. The clinician needs to realise that the morbidity and mortality from combined metastasectomy in liver, lung, or peritoneal space will be increased. Also, a full 30 % of patients will be brought to the operating room for an exploratory laparotomy through a long abdominal incision and complete resection found to be impossible.38

Metachronous Metastases
Patients who present with metachronous metastases present less of a problem. The benefits seem to be worth the risk if an R-0 resection of all sites of metastatic disease is possible. Resection of liver metastases after previous lung metastases has been reported38 as well as resection of pulmonary metastases after liver metastases sequentially with R-0 resections possible.39 Also the use of cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic peri-operative chemotherapy to treat peritoneal metastases before or after resection of liver metastases is common. However, the occurrence of more than one anatomical site of metastatic disease is associated with a reduced prognosis.40 Palliative as well as curative benefits may occur.

Metastases from Metastases
Frequently, surgical judgments regarding the timing of surgical resection of metastatic disease is not obvious to the clinician. Certainly, the surgeon does not want to proceed with a resection that carries moderate to high morbidity and mortality if in the near future metastatic disease at several other anatomical sites becomes evident. However, the “watch-and-wait” management strategy carries with it the danger of a larger extent of disease and the possibility for additional sites of metastatic disease. The concept of metastases from metastases is a phenomenon that has been reportedly documented in colorectal cancer patients. August and colleagues reviewed their records of 81 patients who had liver resection for colorectal metastases. In seven patients (9 %) at the time of surgery, lymph node metastases within the lymphatic drainage of the liver were documented. All seven of these patients had their extrahepatic lymphatic disease limited to nodes draining the liver, implicating lymphatic dissemination of the hepatic metastases as the mechanism of cancer spread.42 Unfortunately, in this situation the likelihood of long-term benefit from a liver resection is reduced.43 August and colleagues suggested that a need for frequent and thorough follow-up of patients following resection of primary colorectal cancer is indicated and that an urgency in the definitive management (surgical resection) of liver metastases should occur if possible.

Eveno and colleagues studied the pattern of relapse in women with colorectal peritoneal carcinomatosis that showed ovarian
metastases. One hundred and five women underwent cytoreductive surgery combined with hyperthermic intra-operative chemotherapy. Sixty-two of the 105 (60%) had ovarian metastases. The median overall survival of the two groups of women with or without ovarian metastases was not statistically different at 36 and 40 months, respectively. However, the pattern of relapse was different in the two groups. There were 19 patients who had retroperitoneal lymph nodal relapse and 18 of these 19 patients had ovarian metastases. The only predictive factor for retroperitoneal relapse was a history of ovarian metastases (p=0.0012). The authors conclude that retroperitoneal lymph node recurrence was linked to ovarian metastases originating from the colorectal malignancy. Early intervention or an intervention combined with systemic lymphadenectomy was suggested as a treatment option for this group of patients.44 Evers and Verwaal showed that the disease-free survival after a cytoreductive surgery plus HIPEC procedure for colorectal or appendiceal peritoneal metastases was significantly lower in women with ovarian metastases. These data may also suggest that retroperitoneal metastases are a sequelae of peritoneal metastases being present within the ovaries.45

In patients with resectable lung metastases from primary colorectal cancer, Okumura and colleagues reported that approximately one-third had mediastinal lymph node involvement documented at the time of thoracotomy.46 Yedidela and colleagues documented mediastinal involvement in 5% of patients.47 Documentation of hilar metastases from lung metastases indicates a reduced prognosis with resection of pulmonary metastases. Inoue reported a five-year survival of 50% in patients with negative mediastinal or hilar lymph nodes as compared to 14% with positive lymph nodes.48

Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer

Rectal cancer may progress to an advanced stage with little or no symptoms. The large calibre of the mid- and lower rectum can result in an absence of obstructive symptoms. Blood per rectum may be attributed to haemorrhoids and fail to cause the patient to seek medical assistance. Even with pre-operative chemoradiation therapy, T3 and T4 rectal cancer may result in locally recurrent disease.49,50

The anatomical location of the recurrence is an important determinant of treatment options.51,52 Lateral extension after prior total mesorectal excision is seldom resectable with an adequate margin of resection. Intra-operative radiation therapy may be added to the surgery in selected patients.52 If the recurrence is anterior in the female patient, a vaginectomy is usually required. With posterior extension partial sacrectomy may result in negative margins. In nearly all patients with a prior low anterior resection, an abdomino-perineal resection of the residual rectum will be necessary. Long-term survival is observed in only approximately 10% of these patients.10

Brain Metastases

Metastases to the brain are important in that they are often the final site of metastases with successful control of metastatic disease at several other anatomical sites. For example, patients with successfully treated liver metastases and lung metastases may finally succumb to disease spread to the brain. Also, brain metastases are important in that they show the immense significance of the anatomical location of the dissemination in terms of prognosis. Patients with brain metastases rarely live more than several months after diagnosis. Metastases to this site rapidly cause the demise of the patient. In contrast, a much larger metastasis to the liver is usually not symptomatic, may be successfully removed surgically and has an immensely different prognosis. The difference in prognosis of metastases located within the liver versus metastases located near the peritoneal space has already been demonstrated.53

Summary

Metastases at Different Anatomical Sites Require Different Management Strategies

In this review, the great differences in the natural history of colorectal metastases to different anatomical sites has been emphasised. The manuscript would have as one of its major goals a clear demonstration that the management of metastatic disease from colorectal cancer must be an individualised plan. The use of systemic chemotherapy alone as an adequate treatment for all sites of colorectal metastatic cancer is not a reasonable management strategy. The treatments must be individualised and utilise all modalities including surgery, radiotherapy, systemic chemotherapy and local-regional chemotherapy.

Knowledgeable Management During Follow-up

Patients who must undergo treatment for colon and rectal cancer require a knowledgeable assessment of their disease process, not only to determine prognosis, but also to predict likely sites for disease progression. Some patients, for example those in whom peritoneal seeding was documented at the time of the primary colorectal cancer resection, are recommended for second-look surgery combined with hyperthermic peri-operative chemotherapy.53 All patients with stage II, III, or IV disease require meticulous follow-up in order to identify progression of disease and then evaluation for additional interventions that may result in prolongation of a high-quality existence. Progression of lymph nodal metastases, liver metastases, peritoneal metastases, lung metastases and locally recurrent disease have treatment options for palliation and, in selected patients, for cure. The treatments with long-term benefit frequently combine an R-0 resection with local-regional and systemic chemotherapy.
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